SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_7254**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effects of Dietary Levels of Rice Husk Supplemented with or without Commercial Enzymes on Performance, Nutrient Retention and Gastro-intestinal Tract of Broilers**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**There is no major adverse comment on the article. The introduction, methodology and design employed all met standard requirements. The result and discussion aspects were also adequately handled**	The manuscript has been corrected.
Minor REVISION comments	**Line 33 should highlight the reasons cited by the Ref 6.** **Line 42; experimental diets** **Line 111: diluents** **Line 293: insert "and'** **Line 321: Veg. Change to vegetable**	Corrected Noted Corrected Corrected Corrected
Optional/General comments	The research work effectively addressed an issue that is relevant to poultry feeds and feeding.	Thank you.